

Communities Care: An Integrated Community-Based Sexual and Gender-Based Violence Intervention in Uribia, Colombia

TABLES

March 2025

These tables are an appendix to *Communities Care: An Integrated Community-Based Sexual and Gender-Based Violence Intervention in Uribe, Colombia (Endline Evaluation Report)*. The report is available [here](#).

Contents

Table 1. Demographic characteristics by intervention and comparison site at baseline and endline	1
Table 2. Means and regression coefficients (standard deviation) of simple linear model and model with controls for key outcomes by site	4
Table 3. Means and regression coefficients (standard deviation) of simple linear model for key outcomes by sex subgroups: women	5
Table 4. Means and regression coefficients (standard deviation) of simple linear model for key outcomes by sex subgroups: men	6
Table 5. Means and regression coefficients (standard deviation) of simple linear model for key outcomes by age subgroups: adolescents (13-19 years old)	7
Table 6. Means and regression coefficients (standard deviation) of simple linear model for key outcomes by age subgroups: adults (20-older)	8
Table 7. Means and regression coefficients (standard deviation) of simple linear model for key outcomes by relationship status subgroups: living with their couple or married	9
Table 8. Means and regression coefficients (standard deviation) of simple linear model for key outcomes by relationship status subgroups: single (Including separated or widow/ers)	10
Table 9. Means and regression coefficients (standard deviation) of simple linear model for key outcomes by migration status subgroups: Venezuelan migrants	11
Table 10. Means and regression coefficients (standard deviation) of simple linear model for key outcomes by migration status subgroups: Non-Venezuelan participants (Colombians, double nationality, Colombians who have returned)	12
Table 11. Key outcomes of the program and methods/tools to assess them	13
Table A1. FGD participants by subgroup and site at baseline and endline	14
Table A2. KII participants by subgroup and site at baseline and endline	15
Table A3. KII participants' leadership distribution by site at endline	15
Table A4. Number of participants in the data collection activities at baseline and endline by site	16
Table A5. Regression coefficients (standard deviation) of multiple linear model without control variables for key outcomes	16
Table A5 (cont.). Regression coefficients (standard deviation) of multiple linear model without control variables for key outcomes	17

Table 1. Demographic characteristics by intervention and comparison site at baseline and endline										
	Intervention site					Comparison site				
Variable	n	Baseline (n=337) Percent/ mean	n	Endline (n=319) Percent/ mean	P-value	n	(n=352) Percent/ mean	n	Endline (n=311) Percent/ mean	P-value
Age (mean)	337	32.00	319	32.11	0.923	352	30.60	311	31.03	0.694
Age range										
13-19 years old	88	26.11	81	25.39	0.833	87	24.72	82	26.37	0.628
20-29 years old	82	24.33	84	26.33	0.557	110	31.25	85	27.33	0.269
30-39 years old	64	18.99	61	19.12	0.966	72	20.45	69	22.19	0.588
40-49 years old	59	17.51	50	15.67	0.529	41	11.65	38	12.22	0.821
50 or older	44	13.06	43	13.48	0.873	42	11.93	37	11.90	0.989
Relationship to household head										
Household head	211	62.61	212	66.46	0.304	228	64.77	200	64.31	0.901
Partner, spouse	13	3.86	10	3.13	0.615	15	4.26	4	1.29	0.018*
Child, stepchild	79	23.44	79	24.76	0.693	84	23.86	89	28.62	0.166
Grandchild	7	2.08	5	1.57	0.626	4	1.14	5	1.61	0.605
Other relative	24	7.12	11	3.45	0.035**	17	4.83	11	3.54	0.405
Domestic service employee(s) and their relatives	0	0.00	0	0.00		0	0.00	0	0.00	
Retired/Pensioner	0	0.00	0	0.00		0	0.00	0	0.00	
Employee	0	0.00	0	0.00		1	0.28	2	0.64	0.503
Other non-relative	3	0.89	2	0.63	0.698	3	0.85	0	0.00	0.083*
Ethnic background										
Indigenous	303	89.91	278	87.15	0.268	306	86.93	285	91.64	0.049*
Gypsy (Rom)	0	0.00	0	0.00		0	0.00	0	0.00	
Raizal from San Andrés, Providencia, and Santa Catalina	0	0.00	0	0.00		0	0.00	0	0.00	
Palenquero(a) from San Basilio	0	0.00	0	0.00		0	0.00	0	0.00	
Afrocolombian	3	0.89	8	2.51	0.112	3	0.85	7	2.25	0.152
None of the above	31	9.20	33	10.34	0.622	43	12.22	19	6.11	0.006***
Self-identified as Wayuu	300	89.02	275	86.21	0.276	304	86.36	284	91.32	0.042**
Statistical significance of tests for differences * p<0.10, ** p<0.05, ***p<0.01 (+) Categories are not mutually exclusive.										

Table 1. Demographic characteristics by intervention and comparison site at baseline and endline

Languages spoken (+)										
<i>Spanish</i>	261	77.45	283	88.71	0.000***	320	90.91	296	95.18	0.030**
<i>English</i>	2	0.59	6	1.88	0.139	4	1.14	6	1.93	0.411
<i>Wayunaiki</i>	286	84.87	255	79.94	0.098*	292	82.95	261	83.92	0.738
<i>Other</i>	0	0.00	0	0.00		0	0.00	0	0.00	
Marital status										
<i>Not married and have lived with their partner for less than two years</i>	10	2.97	13	4.08	0.443	15	4.26	11	3.54	0.630
<i>Not married and have lived with their partner for more than two years</i>	136	40.36	29	9.09	0.000***	111	31.53	27	8.68	0.000***
<i>Married</i>	29	8.61	23	7.21	0.508	60	17.05	26	8.36	0.001***
<i>Divorced or separated</i>	32	9.50	114	35.74	0.000***	37	10.51	125	40.19	0.000***
<i>Widow(er)</i>	14	4.15	128	40.13	0.000***	7	1.99	112	36.01	0.000***
<i>Single</i>	113	33.53	12	3.76	0.000***	122	34.66	7	2.25	0.000***
<i>Refused</i>	3	0.89	0	0.00	0.083*	0	0.00	3	0.96	0.083*
Person has children	236	70.03	232	72.73	0.445	254	72.16	213	68.49	0.303
Sex, gender, and sexual orientation										
Sex										
<i>Male</i>	108	32.05	98	30.72	0.715	108	30.68	101	32.48	0.621
<i>Female</i>	229	67.95	221	69.28	0.715	244	69.32	210	67.52	0.621
<i>Other</i>	0	0.00	0	0.00		0	0.00	0	0.00	
Self-identified with sex assigned at birth										
<i>Yes</i>	335	99.41	319	1.00	0.157	352	1.00	311	1.00	
<i>No</i>	1	0.30	0	0.00	0.318	0	0.00	0	0.00	
<i>Refused</i>	1	0.30	0	0.00	0.318	0	0.00	0	0.00	
Romantic, sexual, emotional, or affective attraction										
<i>Same sex people</i>	1	0.30	4	1.25	0.166	0	0.00	2	0.64	0.157
<i>Opposite sex people</i>	326	96.74	296	92.79	0.024**	344	97.73	298	95.82	0.170
<i>People from both sexes</i>	0	0.00	8	2.51	0.004***	4	1.14	5	1.61	0.605
Statistical significance of tests for differences * p<0.10, ** p<0.05, ***p<0.01										
(+) Categories are not mutually exclusive.										

Table 1. Demographic characteristics by intervention and comparison site at baseline and endline

<i>Refused</i>	10	2.97	11	3.45	0.728	4	1.14	6	1.93	0.411
Disabilities										
<i>Total blindness</i>	2	0.59	0	0.00	0.157	0	0.00	0	0.00	
<i>Total deafness</i>	2	0.59	1	0.31	0.593	1	0.28	0	0.00	0.318
<i>Muteness</i>	1	0.30	0	0.00	0.318	0	0.00	0	0.00	
<i>Difficulty moving or walking on your own</i>	43	12.76	22	6.90	0.011**	8	2.27	9	2.89	0.617
<i>Difficulty bathing, dressing, or feeding yourself</i>	29	8.61	17	5.33	0.099*	5	1.42	6	1.93	0.613
<i>Difficulty going outside without help or company</i>	45	13.35	1	0.31	0.000***	11	3.13	0	0.00	0.001***
<i>Difficulty understanding or learning</i>	82	24.33	1	0.31	0.000***	28	7.95	0	0.00	0.000***
Statistical significance of tests for differences * p<0.10, ** p<0.05, ***p<0.01 (+) Categories are not mutually exclusive.										

Table 2. Means and regression coefficients (standard deviation) of simple linear model and model with controls for key outcomes by site

Key outcomes	Intervention site			Comparison site			B (Std. Dev.)	B with controls (Std. Dev.)
	Baseline	Endline	P-value	Baseline	Endline	P-value		
Outcome 1: Sense of safety and well-being	63.50	61.76	0.388	67.05	55.63	0.016**	0.0894* (0.0534)	0.102* (0.0536)
Outcome 2: Person has heard GBV term	47.48	79.94	0.000***	36.93	74.92	0.000***	-0.0544 (0.0505)	-0.0776 (0.0494)
Outcome 3: Consequences of SV	91.39	96.87	0.016**	94.89	97.43	0.086*	0.0266 (0.0236)	0.0288 (0.0238)
Outcome 4: Benefits of seeking help for SV	72.40	94.67	0.000***	62.78	97.11	0.000***	-0.129*** (0.0385)	-0.139*** (0.0386)
Outcome 5: Knowledge of available GBV services	44.21	60.19	0.000***	26.70	43.41	0.000***	-0.00804 (0.0531)	-0.0220 (0.0535)
Outcome 6: Person has accessed GBV services	18.99	34.80	0.002***	13.92	25.72	0.000***	-0.161 (0.104)	-0.142 (0.104)
Outcome 7: Knowledge of available SV services	37.39	51.10	0.001***	23.86	36.01	0.002***	(0.0152) (0.0522)	0.000539 (0.0526)
Score 1: Attitudes towards GBV and accessing services	1.89	1.91	0.198	1.91	1.90	0.283	0.0237* (0.0142)	0.0285** (0.0143)
Score 2: Attitudes towards GBV	1.94	1.92	0.753	1.96	1.92	0.008***	0.0274 (0.0172)	0.0310* (0.0173)
Score 3: Attitudes towards accessing services	1.86	1.92	0.002***	1.88	1.89	0.879	0.0556** (0.0272)	0.0618** (0.0272)
Statistical significance of tests for differences and regressions *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1								

Table 3. Means and regression coefficients (standard deviation) of simple linear model for key outcomes by sex subgroups: women (n=904)

Key outcomes	Intervention site			Comparison site			B with controls (Std. Dev.)
	Baseline (n=229)	Endline (n= 221)	P-value	Baseline (n=244)	Endline (n=210)	P-value	
Outcome 1: Sense of safety and well-being	62.01	63.18	0.798	67.62	50.96	0.000***	0.196*** (0.0649)
Outcome 2: Person has heard GBV term	50.44	85.39	0.000***	37.86	77.14	0.000***	-0.0599 (0.0580)
Outcome 3: Consequences of SV	91.7	98.19	0.002***	94.67	98.10	0.048**	0.0333 (0.0269)
Outcome 4: Benefits of seeking help for SV	78.67	98.17	0.000***	64.44	99.52	0.000***	-0.166*** (0.0430)
Outcome 5: Knowledge of available GBV services	48.47	67.73	0.000***	29.10	45.71	0.000***	0.0205 (0.0648)
Outcome 6: Person has accessed GBV services	69.57	75.58	0.469	67.57	86.89	0.033**	-0.156 (0.118)
Outcome 7: Knowledge of available SV services	41.92	55.2	0.005***	26.75	40.95	0.001***	-0.0232 (0.0649)
Score 1: Attitudes towards GBV and accessing services	1.89	1.91	0.154	1.91	1.91	0.711	0.0275 (0.0176)
Score 2: Attitudes towards GBV	1.93	1.94	0.613	1.95	1.92	0.034**	0.0430* (0.0227)
Score 3: Attitudes towards accessing services	1.87	1.93	0.005***	1.89	1.91	0.550	0.0536* (0.0314)
Statistical significance of tests for differences and regressions *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1							

Table 4. Means and regression coefficients (standard deviation) of simple linear model for key outcomes by sex subgroups: men (n=415)

Key outcomes	Intervention site			Comparison site			B with controls (Std. Dev.)
	Baseline (n=108)	Endline (n=98)	P-value	Baseline (n=108)	Endline (n=101)	P-value	
Outcome 1: Sense of safety and well-being	68.57	59.18	0.203	65.74	66.34	0.366	-0.0664 (0.0942)
Outcome 2: Person has heard GBV term	42.06	69.39	0.222	35.19	71.00	0.006***	-0.122 (0.0907)
Outcome 3: Consequences of SV	91.59	93.88	0.591	95.37	96.04	0.060*	0.0290 (0.0465)
Outcome 4: Benefits of seeking help for SV	67.33	92.63	0.866	65.42	97.94	0.679	-0.0728 (0.0729)
Outcome 5: Knowledge of available GBV services	43.88	60.19	0.075*	21.30	38.61	0.000***	-0.0801 (0.0942)
Outcome 6: Person has accessed GBV services	70.59	75	0.008***	50.00	78.95	0.001***	-0.132 (0.247)
Outcome 7: Knowledge of available SV services	28.04	41.84	0.006***	17.59	25.74	0.000***	0.0558 (0.0895)
Score 1: Attitudes towards GBV and accessing services	1.9	1.9	0.860	1.90	1.88	0.085*	0.0216 (0.0255)
Score 2: Attitudes towards GBV	1.96	1.93	0.133	1.96	1.93	0.861	-0.000983 (0.0278)
Score 3: Attitudes towards accessing services	1.84	1.89	0.190	1.86	1.84	0.021**	0.0630 (0.0539)
Statistical significance of tests for differences and regressions *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1							

Table 5. Means and regression coefficients (standard deviation) of simple linear model for key outcomes by age subgroups: adolescents (13-19 years old) (n=338)

Key outcomes	Intervention site			Comparison site			B with controls (Std. Dev.)
	Baseline (n=88)	Endline (n=81)	P-value	Baseline (n=87)	Endline (n=82)	P-value	
Outcome 1: Sense of safety and well-being	71.59	71.6	0.998	71.26	64.63	0.359	0.0518 (0.103)
Outcome 2: Person has heard GBV term	52.27	79.01	0.000***	39.08	78.05	0.000***	-0.140 (0.103)
Outcome 3: Consequences of SV	92.05	97.53	0.107	98.85	96.34	0.294	0.0930** (0.0438)
Outcome 4: Benefits of seeking help for SV	70.59	97.5	0.000***	63.22	97.56	0.000***	-0.0895 (0.0786)
Outcome 5: Knowledge of available GBV services	44.32	54.32	0.196	26.44	42.68	0.027**	-0.0602 (0.109)
Outcome 6: Person has accessed GBV services	75	80	0.710	57.14	90.91	0.031**	-0.196 (0.203)
Outcome 7: Knowledge of available SV services	39.77	53.09	0.084*	22.99	39.02	0.025**	-0.00985 (0.108)
Score 1: Attitudes towards GBV and accessing services	1.88	1.90	0.468	1.91	1.91	0.961	0.0241 (0.0292)
Score 2: Attitudes towards GBV	1.91	1.93	0.623	1.93	1.91	0.315	0.0475 (0.0397)
Score 3: Attitudes towards accessing services	1.86	1.91	0.181	1.91	1.92	0.580	0.0422 (0.0482)
Statistical significance of tests for differences and regressions *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1							

Table 6. Means and regression coefficients (standard deviation) of simple linear model for key outcomes by age subgroups: adults (20-older) (n=981)

Key outcomes	Intervention site			Comparison site			B with controls (Std. Dev.)
	Baseline (n=249)	Endline (n=238)	P-value	Baseline (n=265)	Endline (n=229)	P-value	
Outcome 1: Sense of safety and well-being	61.38	58.65	0.541	65.66	52.86	0.004***	0.111* (0.0626)
Outcome 2: Person has heard GBV term	46.15	80.93	0.000***	36.36	74.12	0.000***	-0.0612 (0.0563)
Outcome 3: Consequences of SV	91.53	96.64	0.017**	93.58	97.82	0.019**	0.00758 (0.0279)
Outcome 4: Benefits of seeking help for SV	76.76	96.14	0.000***	65.25	99.55	0.000***	-0.154*** (0.0425)
Outcome 5: Knowledge of available GBV services	44.53	62.45	0.000***	26.79	43.67	0.000***	-0.000511 (0.0622)
Outcome 6: Person has accessed GBV services	68.09	73.75	0.504	65.71	82.76	0.077*	-0.113 (0.128)
Outcome 7: Knowledge of available SV services	36.69	50.42	0.002***	24.24	34.93	0.010**	0.0169 (0.0611)
Score 1: Attitudes towards GBV and accessing services	1.90	1.91	0.295	1.91	1.89	0.208	0.0291* (0.0167)
Score 2: Attitudes towards GBV	1.95	1.94	0.471	1.96	1.93	0.013**	0.0235 (0.0200)
Score 3: Attitudes towards accessing services	1.86	1.92	0.005***	1.88	1.87	0.910	0.0653** (0.0324)
Statistical significance of tests for differences and regressions *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1							

Table 7. Means and regression coefficients (standard deviation) of simple linear model for key outcomes by relationship status subgroups: living with their couple or married (n=490)

Key outcomes	Intervention site			Comparison site			B with controls (Std. Dev.)
	Baseline (n=175)	Endline (n=65)	P-value	Baseline (n=186)	Endline (n=64)	P-value	
Outcome 1: Sense of safety and well-being	61.85	58.93	0.583	69.35	52.41	0.002***	0.141* (0.0748)
Outcome 2: Person has heard GBV term	47.4	80.47	0.000***	33.33	73.79	0.000***	-0.0862 (0.0677)
Outcome 3: Consequences of SV	91.95	97.63	0.017**	93.55	97.95	0.042**	0.0119 (0.0320)
Outcome 4: Benefits of seeking help for SV	75.74	97.58	0.000***	63.74	98.58	0.000***	-0.131** (0.0511)
Outcome 5: Knowledge of available GBV services	42.86	63.69	0.000***	25.81	45.21	0.000***	0.00864 (0.0740)
Outcome 6: Person has accessed GBV services	78.79	76.67	0.816	66.67	86.49	0.102	-0.230 (0.148)
Outcome 7: Knowledge of available SV services	39.43	50.3	0.043**	23.12	36.3	0.010**	-0.0312 (0.0734)
Score 1: Attitudes towards GBV and accessing services	1.89	1.91	0.341	1.90	1.89	0.487	0.0241 (0.0208)
Score 2: Attitudes towards GBV	1.95	1.93	0.325	1.95	1.93	0.193	0.00535 (0.0252)
Score 3: Attitudes towards accessing services	1.86	1.93	0.004***	1.88	1.87	0.741	0.0838** (0.0387)
Statistical significance of tests for differences and regressions *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1							

Table 8. Means and regression coefficients (standard deviation) of simple linear model for key outcomes by relationship status subgroups: single (Including separated or widow/ers) (n=569)

Key outcomes	Intervention site			Comparison site			B with controls (Std. Dev.)
	Baseline (n=175)	Endline (n=65)	P-value	Baseline (n=186)	Endline (n=64)	P-value	
Outcome 1: Sense of safety and well-being	65.82	65.33	0.928	64.46	59.01	0.312	0.0673 (0.0766)
Outcome 2: Person has heard GBV term	49.06	80.41	0.000***	41.21	76.54	0.000***	-0.0713 (0.0715)
Outcome 3: Consequences of SV	91.19	96	0.084*	96.39	96.91	0.791	0.0411 (0.0345)
Outcome 4: Benefits of seeking help for SV	75.97	95.27	0.000***	65.85	99.38	0.000***	-0.157*** (0.0542)
Outcome 5: Knowledge of available GBV services	47.13	56.67	0.095*	27.71	41.98	0.007***	-0.0443 (0.0783)
Outcome 6: Person has accessed GBV services	60	74	0.208	60.71	83.33	0.044**	-0.0652 (0.149)
Outcome 7: Knowledge of available SV services	36.08	52	0.005***	24.85	36.42	0.023**	0.0461 (0.0768)
Score 1: Attitudes towards GBV and accessing services	1.89	1.91	0.339	1.91	1.90	0.365	0.0282 (0.0202)
Score 2: Attitudes towards GBV	1.93	1.94	0.495	1.96	1.92	0.012**	0.0559** (0.0249)
Score 3: Attitudes towards accessing services	1.86	1.90	0.120	1.89	1.90	0.671	0.0288 (0.0383)
Statistical significance of tests for differences and regressions *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1							

Table 9. Means and regression coefficients (standard deviation) of simple linear model for key outcomes by migration status subgroups: Venezuelan migrants (n=508)

Key outcomes	Intervention site			Comparison site			B with controls (Std. Dev.)
	Baseline (n=154)	Endline (n=81)	P-value	Baseline (n=174)	Endline (n=99)	P-value	
Outcome 1: Sense of safety and well-being	61.08	50	0.097*	61.82	42.86	0.003***	0.0955 (0.0915)
Outcome 2: Person has heard GBV term	52.69	77.11	0.000***	40	79.8	0.000***	-0.168** (0.0816)
Outcome 3: Consequences of SV	89.88	96.43	0.035**	95.76	97.98	0.296	0.0462 (0.0372)
Outcome 4: Benefits of seeking help for SV	72.67	97.62	0.000***	66.46	97.94	0.000***	-0.0639 (0.0574)
Outcome 5: Knowledge of available GBV services	40.12	53.57	0.044**	26.67	43.43	0.006***	-0.0637 (0.0907)
Outcome 6: Person has accessed GBV services	64.29	76.92	0.316	58.62	81.48	0.063*	-0.0620 (0.180)
Outcome 7: Knowledge of available SV services	34.52	42.86	0.205	24.85	35.35	0.076*	-0.0380 (0.0891)
Score 1: Attitudes towards GBV and accessing services	1.90	1.92	0.079*	1.91	1.92	0.789	0.0297 (0.0217)
Score 2: Attitudes towards GBV	1.93	1.94	0.534	1.95	1.92	0.290	0.0409 (0.0304)
Score 3: Attitudes towards accessing services	1.89	1.96	0.003***	1.89	1.93	0.180	0.0473 (0.0370)
Statistical significance of tests for differences and regressions *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1							

Table 10. Means and regression coefficients (standard deviation) of simple linear model for key outcomes by migration status subgroups: Non-Venezuelan participants (Colombians, double nationality, Colombians who have returned) (n=691)

Key outcomes	Intervention site			Comparison site			B with controls (Std. Dev.)
	Baseline (n=145)	Endline (n=206)	P-value	Baseline (n=156)	Endline (n=184)	P-value	
Outcome 1: Sense of safety and well-being	67.07	66.24	0.863	71.66	62.09	0.043**	0.0951 (0.0670)
Outcome 2: Person has heard GBV term	42.86	81.62	0.000***	34.41	72.99	0.000***	-0.0400 (0.0635)
Outcome 3: Consequences of SV	93.45	97.02	0.108	94.12	97.17	0.141	0.00583 (0.0304)
Outcome 4: Benefits of seeking help for SV	77.58	96.07	0.000***	63.19	99.52	0.000***	-0.193*** (0.0497)
Outcome 5: Knowledge of available GBV services	48.81	62.82	0.005***	26.74	43.4	0.000***	-0.0420 (0.0691)
Outcome 6: Person has accessed GBV services	74.29	75	0.936	70	86.79	0.146	-0.131 (0.134)
Outcome 7: Knowledge of available SV services	40.48	54.04	0.007***	23.12	36.32	0.004***	-0.0166 (0.0681)
Score 1: Attitudes towards GBV and accessing services	1.89	1.90	0.425	1.91	1.89	0.203	0.0305 (0.0189)
Score 2: Attitudes towards GBV	1.95	1.93	0.325	1.96	1.92	0.006***	0.0264 (0.0222)
Score 3: Attitudes towards accessing services	1.83	1.90	0.007***	1.88	1.87	0.719	0.0819** (0.0369)
Statistical significance of tests for differences and regressions *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1							

Table 11. Key outcomes of the program and methods/tools to assess them

#	Key outcomes	Methods/Tools
1	KIs' mean score in the scale for the assessment of capacity to prevent and respond to sexual violence in the communities.	KIs (CAB and community leaders) Q. Thinking about your ability as a leader (or as an organization) to respond to sexual violence in Intervention site, on a scale of 1 to 10, with 1 being least capacity and 10 being maximum capacity, how would you rate your capacity to respond to sexual violence in Intervention site?
2	Changes in the community members' sense of safety and well-being	1. KAP surveys administered to community members Q. How do you rank your sense of safety/security and wellbeing in your community (very secure, secure, insecure, very insecure, did not respond)?
3	Percent of community members knowledgeable about GBV / SV, its consequences, benefits of seeking care, and where survivors can get support or services.	1. KAP surveys administered to community members Q. Has the person heard the term gender-based violence yes/no/not sure 1. KAP surveys administered to community members Q. Please talk to me about the consequences of sexual violence: Sexually transmitted diseases (01), Shame (02), Bad reputation (03), Depression or anxiety (04), Unwanted pregnancies (05), Suicidal ideation (06), No consequence (07), Other (77)) 1. KAP surveys administered to community members Q. Please talk to me about the benefits of seeking help in cases of sexual violence: Receive timely screening and treatment for sexually transmitted infections (01), Receive medication for pregnancy prevention (e.g., emergency contraception) (02), Receive care for injuries (03), Receive referral services (e.g., advanced medical care, legal services, shelter, psychological support) (04), None (05), Other (77), No answer (99)) 1. KAP surveys administered to community members Q. Knowledge of available services in the community if a person experiences gender-based violence yes/no/no response Q. Knowledge of available services in the community if a person experiences sexual violence yes/no/no response)
4	Community members' access to GBV services available in the community.	1. KAP surveys administered to community members Q. In the past 12 months, have you accessed any of the available services you mentioned that are located in your community? (yes, no, no answer)
5	Attitudes towards GBV (scale scoring).	1. KAP survey administered to community members Q. Attitudes towards GBV - 11 items, possible answers: agree, disagree, does not respond) 2. Participatory group sessions will be held with community members to examine attitudes and perceptions around SV and health seeking behavior

Table A1. FGD participants by subgroup and site at baseline and endline.

Intervention site				
	Baseline		Endline	
Subgroups	Number of FGDs	Number of participants	Number of FGDs	Number of participants
Wayuú adult women (25-49 years)	3	23	3	27
Wayuú young women (18-24 years)	3	21	3	19
Non-Wayuú adult women (25-49 years)	3	19	3	22
Non-Wayuú young women (18-24 years)			3	20
Wayuú adult men (25 and over)	3	22	3	19
Wayuú young men (18-24 years)	3	20	3	
Non-Wayuú adult men (25 and over)	-	-	3	19
Total number of FGDS	15		18	
Total number of participants	105		126	
Comparison site				
	Baseline		Endline	
Subgroups	Number of FGDs	Number of participants	Number of FGDs	Number of participants
Wayuú adult women (25-49 years)	4	30	2	15
Wayuú young women (18-24 years)	3	22	2	14
Non-Wayuú adult women (25-49 years)	3	19	2	12
Non-Wayuú young women (18-24 years)			2	11
Wayuú adult men (25 and over)	3	22	2	17
Wayuú young men (18-24 years)	3	18	2	
Non-Wayuú adult men (25 and over)	-	-	2	12
Total number of FGDS	16		12	
Total number of participants	111		81	

Table A2. KII participants by subgroup and site at baseline and endline.

Intervention site				
	Baseline		Endline	
	Number of Participants		Number of Participants	
Subgroups Throughout	CHWs	Community Leaders	CHWs	Community Leaders
Wayuú women	6	2	3	-
Non-Wayuú women	4	6	5	3
Wayuú men	-	2	-	1
Non-Wayuú men	-	2	-	3
Total number of participants	10	12	8	7
Comparison site				
	Baseline		Endline	
Subgroups	Number of Participants (Community Leaders)		Number of Participants (Community Leaders)	
Wayuú women	2		1	
Non-Wayuú women	4		5	
Wayuú men	1		1	
Non-Wayuú men	2		-	
Total number of participants	9		7	

Table A3. KII participants' leadership distribution by site at endline

Intervention site	
Type of Leadership	Number of Participants
Community leader	5
LGBT+ leader	1
Religious leader	1
Total number of participants	7
Comparison site	
Type of Leadership	Number of Participants
Community leader	6
Religious leader	1
Total number of participants	7

Table A4. Number of participants in the data collection activities at baseline and endline by site

	Baseline		Endline	
Data collection activities	Intervention site	Comparison site	Intervention site	Comparison site
KAP Survey	337	352	319	311
FGDs	105	111	126	81
KIIs with Community Leaders	12	9	7	7
KIIs with CHWs	10	-	8	-
KIIs with Implementation Supervisors	2	-	2	-

Table A5. Regression coefficients (standard deviation) of multiple linear model without control variables for key outcomes

Variables	Outcome 1	Outcome 2	Outcome 3	Outcome 4	Outcome 5	Outcome 6	Outcome 7	Score 1	Score 2	Score 3
Intervention Site x Endline	0.0894* (0.0534)	-0.0544 (0.0505)	0.0266 (0.0236)	-0.129*** (0.0385)	-0.00804 (0.0531)	-0.161 (0.104)	0.0152 (0.0522)	0.0237* (0.0142)	0.0274 (0.0172)	0.0556** (0.0272)
Intervention Site	-0.0297 (0.0369)	0.107*** (0.0349)	-0.0322** (0.0163)	0.104*** (0.0267)	0.178*** (0.0367)	0.0658 (0.0820)	0.136*** (0.0361)	-0.0154 (0.00987)	-0.0166 (0.0119)	-0.0253 (0.0188)
Endline	-0.111*** (0.0377)	0.381*** (0.0356)	0.0254 (0.0167)	0.343*** (0.0271)	0.167*** (0.0375)	0.217*** (0.0781)	0.121*** (0.0369)	-0.0110 (0.01000)	-0.0314*** (0.0121)	0.00299 (0.0191)
Constant	0.670*** (0.0258)	0.370*** (0.0244)	0.949*** (0.0114)	0.647*** (0.0186)	0.267*** (0.0257)	0.633*** (0.0615)	0.239*** (0.0253)	1.909*** (0.00688)	1.955*** (0.00834)	1.883*** (0.0131)
Observations	1,313	1,313	1,318	1,290	1,316	302	1,317	1,283	1,298	1,304
R-squared	0.007	0.138	0.011	0.150	0.059	0.029	0.040	0.002	0.005	0.007

Standard errors in parentheses *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table A5 (cont.). Regression coefficients (standard deviation) of multiple linear model without control variables for key outcomes

Variables	Intervention Site x Endline	Intervention Site	Endline	Constant	Observations	R-squared
Outcome 1	0.0894* (0.0534)	-0.0297 (0.0369)	-0.111*** (0.0377)	0.670*** (0.0258)	1,313	0.007
Outcome 2	-0.0544 (0.0505)	0.107*** (0.0349)	0.381*** (0.0356)	0.370*** (0.0244)	1,313	0.138
Outcome 3	0.0266 (0.0236)	-0.0322** (0.0163)	0.0254 (0.0167)	0.949*** (0.0114)	1,318	0.011
Outcome 4	-0.129*** (0.0385)	0.104*** (0.0267)	0.343*** (0.0271)	0.647*** (0.0186)	1,290	0.150
Outcome 5	-0.00804 (0.0531)	0.178*** (0.0367)	0.167*** (0.0375)	0.267*** (0.0257)	1,316	0.059
Outcome 6	-0.161 (0.104)	0.0658 (0.082)	0.217*** (0.0781)	0.633*** (0.0615)	302	0.029
Outcome 7	0.0152 (0.0522)	0.136*** (0.0361)	0.121*** (0.0369)	0.239*** (0.0253)	1,317	0.04
Score 1	0.0237* (0.0142)	-0.0154 (0.00987)	-0.011 (0.01)	1.909*** (0.00688)	1,283	0.002
Score 2	0.0274 (0.0172)	-0.0166 (0.0119)	-0.0314*** (0.0121)	1.955*** (0.00834)	1,298	0.005
Score 3	0.0556** (0.0272)	-0.0253 (0.0188)	0.00299 (0.0191)	1.883*** (0.0131)	1,304	0.007
Standard errors in parentheses *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1						